

CORRIGENDUM No: 1
to the
WORKS CONTRACT NOTICE

Publication Ref: HUSRB/1601/11/0004

Subject: CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOMOKHÁTI WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM
BETWEEN THE 18+800 – 23+996 KM CROSS SECTIONS

Location: Csongrád County, Hungary

The contract notice is corrected or modified as follow:

Point n°: 16. Selection criteria – c)

Instead of the original text:

c) it has completed and ended - in accordance with the prescriptions of the relevant contracts - at least two contracts in the field of water engineering works including the following works:

- dredging
- construction of reinforced concrete culverts
- sluice construction
- installation or reconstruction of at least one water pump station

with the budget of at least EUR 2.000.000 for one of the contracts and both within the period of eight years before the deadline for submission of tenders (03/07/2018)

This means that the taking-over certificate of the contract the tenderer refers to must have been issued within the indicated period, but it does not necessarily have to be started and completed during that period, nor implemented during the entire period.

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to ask for copies of certificates of the taking over certificate / final acceptance signed by the supervisors/contracting authority of the project concerned.

If a tender is submitted by a consortium, unless specified, the selection criteria listed above will be applied to the consortium as a whole.

Read the following new text:

c) it has completed and ended - in accordance with the prescriptions of the relevant contracts – two contracts in the field of water engineering works which together include the following works:

- dredging
- construction of reinforced concrete culverts
- sluice construction
- installation or reconstruction of at least one water pump station

with the budget of at least EUR 2.000.000 for one of the contracts and both within the period of eight years before the deadline for submission of tenders (03/07/2018)

The budget of one of the referred contracts must be of at least EUR 2.000.000 (without VAT) and both of them must be accomplished within the period of eight years before the deadline for submission of tenders (03/07/2018)

This means that the taking-over certificate of the contracts the tenderer refers to must have been issued within the indicated period, but it does not necessarily have to be started and completed during that period, nor implemented during the entire period.

The Contracting Authority reserves the right to ask for copies of certificates of the taking over certificate / final acceptance signed by the supervisors/contracting authority of the project concerned

If a tender is submitted by a consortium, unless specified, the selection criteria listed above will be applied to the consortium as a whole.

Point n°: 17. Award criteria

Instead of the original text:

The most economically advantageous tender is the technically compliant tender with the best price-quality ratio, determined by the results of the technical and financial evaluation in accordance with the weightings laid down below and in detail in the tender dossier:

Award criterion	Weighting
1. Price (net HUF)	70
2. Professional experience of the project leader (number of water engineering projects, where he/she acted as project leader – max. 5 projects)	15
3. Professional experience of the site manager (number of water engineering projects, where he/she took part – max. 5 projects)	15

The key experts presented for the above mentioned award criteria can be the same as that/those presented for the professional capacity.

Read the following new text:

The most economically advantageous tender is the technically compliant tender with the best price-quality ratio, determined by the results of the technical and financial evaluation in accordance with the weightings laid down below and in detail in the tender dossier:

Award criterion	Weighting
1. Price (net EUR)	70
2. Professional experience of the project leader (number of water engineering projects, where he/she acted as project leader – max. 5 projects)	15
3. Professional experience of the site manager (number of water engineering projects, where he/she took part – max. 5 projects)	15

The key experts presented for the above mentioned award criteria have to be the same as that/those presented for the professional capacity.

The lower limit of score for each award criterion is 0 points; upper limit: 100 points, where 0 points are the worst, 100 points are the best value. Rounding will be calculated up to two decimal places.

The methods for calculating the scores for the award criteria are presented in the tender dossier.

THE TENDER DOSSIER IS MODIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

Point 3.6 of Volume 1

Instead of the original text:

3.6 The upper limit authorised for subcontracting is 30 % of the value of the tender¹.

Read:

The provision in point 3.6 has been deleted.

1

If the tender includes subcontracting, it is recommended that the contractual arrangements between the tenderer and its subcontractors include mediation, according to national and international practices, as a method of dispute resolution.

Point 12.4 of Volume 1

Instead of the original text:

Tenderers must provide evidence that they meet the award criteria if they do so. This must be provided by tenderers using the forms described in 24. below and any additional forms tenderers may wish to use.

Read the following new text:

The documentary evidence (using the relevant Forms provided in Section 4 and any additional forms tenderers may wish to use) of the technical and professional capacity criteria that shall be scored as specified in point 24.(Award Criteria) of these Instructions to Tenderers, must be submitted with the tender.

Point 24 of Volume 1

Instead of the original text of the first paragraph:

The most economically advantageous tender is the technically compliant tender with the lowest price.

Read the following new text:

The most economically advantageous tender is the technically compliant tender with the best price-quality ratio, determined by the results of the technical and financial evaluation in accordance with the weightings laid down herebelow:

Point 24 of Volume 1

Read the following new text after the original text:

The methods for calculating the scores for the award criteria are:

1. Price (net EUR): the tender with the lowest price receives 100 points.

The score for any other tenders will be calculated using the following formula:

Score = (lowest financial (price) offer / financial (price) offer of the relevant offer) x 100.

2. and 3. award criteria: the tender(s) presenting the most projects or 5 projects or more than 5 projects professional experience for the experts receives 100 points.

The score for any other tenders will be calculated using the following formula:

Score = (professional experience of the relevant offer / the most presented professional experience) x 100.

In case of presenting more than 5 projects of professional experience, the number 5 will be used in the formula, as the maximum level of the 2. and 3. award criteria.

If all or more of the tenders represent the same amount of relevant professional experience for the 2. or the 3. award criteria, all identical tenders will be awarded the same score.

The score given for each award criterion will be multiplied by the relevant weighting, and the total score for each tender will be taken into consideration.

The most economically advantageous tender is the technically compliant tender with the best price-quality ratio, namely with the highest total score.

All other terms and conditions of the contract notice and the tender dossier remain unchanged. The above alterations and /or corrections to the contract notice and the tender dossier are integral part of the contract notice and the tender dossier.